

1 MICHAEL F. HERTZ
Acting Assistant Attorney General, Civil Division
2 DOUGLAS N. LETTER
Terrorism Litigation Counsel
3 JOSEPH H. HUNT
Director, Federal Programs Branch
4 ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO
Special Litigation Counsel
5 PAUL G. FREEBORNE
Trial Attorney
6 Email: paul.freeborne@usdoj.gov
U.S. Department of Justice
7 Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Rm. 6108
8 Washington, D.C. 20001
Phone: (202) 353-0543—Fax: (202) 616-8460

9 *Attorneys for the Government Defendants*

TIMOTHY P. GARREN
Director, Torts Branch
ANDREA W. MCCARTHY
Senior Trial Counsel, Torts Branch
JAMES R. WHITMAN
Trial Attorney
Email: james.whitman@usdoj.gov
U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Division, Torts Branch
P.O. Box 7146, Ben Franklin Station
Washington, DC 20044-7146
Tel: (202) 616-4169—Fax: (202) 616-4314

Attorneys for George W. Bush, Richard B. Cheney, David S. Addington, Keith B. Alexander, Michael V. Hayden, John D. McConnell, John D. Negroponte, Michael B. Mukasey, Alberto R. Gonzales, and John D. Ashcroft, in Their Individual Capacity

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
14 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
15 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

15 JEWEL, et al.)	No. 08-cv-4373-VRW
)	
16 Plaintiffs,)	DEFENDANTS' UNOPPOSED
)	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR AN
17 v.)	EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER
)	OR OTHERWISE TO RESPOND TO
18 NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, et al.,)	COMPLAINT
)	
19 Defendants.)	[CIVIL L.R. 7-11 AND 6-3]
)	
20)	Honorable Vaughn R. Walker

21 Pursuant to Local Rule 6-3, all defendants hereby administratively move and respectfully
22 request that the Court grant a 60-day extension of time to answer or otherwise respond to the
23 complaint filed in this matter. Counsel for the defendants have consulted with counsel for the
24 plaintiffs and plaintiffs do not oppose this motion.

1 On September 18, 2008, plaintiffs filed a complaint alleging violations of the
2 Constitution and federal statutes arising out of alleged warrantless surveillance and raising
3 claims against the National Security Agency (“NSA”) and Government officials in their official
4 and individual capacities. *See* Dkt. 1 in 08-cv-4373 (Sept. 18, 2008). Plaintiffs moved
5 unopposed to relate this case to *Hepting, et al. v. AT&T Corp. et al.*, 06-cv-0672-vrw, *see* Dkt. 7
6 in 08-cv-4373, which is consolidated with other actions before the Court by Order of the Judicial
7 Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, *see* Dkt. 1 in 06-m-1791. The Court granted plaintiffs’ motion
8 to relate on October 28, 2008, *see* Dkt. 9 in 08-cv-4373. A response to the complaint by the
9 Government defendants sued in their official capacity was initially due December 8, 2008.
10 Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(a), the parties stipulated and agreed that a response to the complaint
11 by the Government defendants sued in their official capacities would be due no later than
12 February 2, 2009. *See* Dkt. 11 in 08-cv-4373 (Nov. 26, 2008). A response to the complaint by
13 the individual capacity defendants is also currently due February 2, 2009, based on the date
14 plaintiffs effected service on those defendants. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(3).¹ The defendants
15 request that they be afforded an additional 60 days, or until March 24, 2009, to answer or
16 otherwise respond to the complaint filed in this matter and that the hearing date set for March 26,
17 2009 be reset for a later date after the parties have conferred on a schedule and submitted a joint
18 proposal or respective proposals.

19 The defendants request an extension of time to respond to the complaint so that
20 additional time is available for consultation with incoming Administration officials who have yet
21 to be confirmed or take office and who, once in office, will require additional time to become
22 familiar with the case. Since this is the first filing in this case, and nothing else is pending, the
23 defendants submit that the additional time is appropriate under the circumstances. A proposed
24 order granting the defendants’ unopposed motion is attached.

27 ¹ By joining this motion, the individual capacity defendants do not waive, and expressly
28 reserve, all defenses available to them relating to all aspects of this action.

1 DATED: January 26, 2009

Respectfully Submitted,

2 MICHAEL F. HERTZ
Acting Assistant Attorney General, Civil Division
3 DOUGLAS N. LETTER
Terrorism Litigation Counsel
4 JOSEPH H. HUNT
Director, Federal Programs Branch
5 ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO
Special Litigation Counsel
6 PAUL G. FREEBORNE
Trial Attorney
7 Email: paul.freeborne@usdoj.gov
U.S. Department of Justice
8 Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Rm. 6102
9 Washington, D.C. 20001
Phone: (202) 353-0543—Fax: (202) 616-8460
10 Email: paul.freeborne@usdoj.gov

11 By: /s Paul G. Freeborne
12 Paul G. Freeborne

13 *Attorneys for the Government Defendants*
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 TIMOTHY P. GARREN
2 Director, Torts Branch
3 ANDREA W. MCCARTHY
4 Senior Trial Counsel, Torts Branch
5 JAMES R. WHITMAN
6 Trial Attorney
7 U.S Department of Justice
8 Torts Branch, Civil Division
9 P.O. Box 7146, Ben Franklin Station
10 Washington, D.C. 20044-7146
11 Tel: (202) 616-4169
12 Fax: (202) 616-4314
13 james.whitman@usdoj.gov

14 By: /s James R. Whitman
15 James R. Whitman

16 *Attorneys for George W. Bush, Richard B. Cheney,*
17 *David S. Addington, Keith B. Alexander, Michael V.*
18 *Hayden, John D. McConnell, John D. Negroponte,*
19 *Michael B. Mukasey, Alberto R. Gonzales, and John*
20 *D. Ashcroft, in Their Individual Capacity*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

I am a Trial Attorney in the Civil Division of the Department of Justice, and one of the attorneys assigned to this case.

I called counsel for the plaintiffs, Jennifer Granick, on January 22, 2009 to seek plaintiffs' consent to a 60-day extension of time for the defendants' response to the complaint filed in this matter.

Counsel for the plaintiffs has stated by phone and electronic mail that plaintiffs would not oppose the defendants' motion.

As set forth in the accompanying administrative motion, the defendants request this extension so that additional time is available for consultation with incoming Administration officials who have yet to be confirmed or take office and who, once in office, will require additional time to become familiar with the case. Defendants' response to the complaint would be the first filing in this case.

If the motion is granted, the defendants' obligation to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint would be on or before March 24, 2009. And if the motion is granted, the defendants propose that the parties be permitted an opportunity to agree upon a briefing schedule for appropriate motions and for a hearing on any motions that are filed.

Executed on January 26, 2008, in the City of Washington, District of Columbia.

By: s/ Paul G. Freeborne
Paul G. Freeborne

Attorneys for the Government Defendants

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

2 It is hereby ORDERED the defendants' Unopposed Administrative Motion for an
3 Extension of Time to Answer or Otherwise Respond to the Complaint is hereby granted, and all
4 defendants shall answer or otherwise respond to the complaint on or before March 24, 2009. It
5 is further ORDERED that the Court's order setting a hearing for March 26, 2009 is vacated, and
6 that the parties shall meet and confer and propose a briefing schedule for appropriate motions
7 and a hearing date on which the Court may consider any motions that are filed.
8

9 IT IS SO ORDERED,

10 Dated: _____, 2009
11

12 _____
13 Hon. Vaughn R. Walker
14 United States District Court Chief Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28